

Appeal Decisions Received between 01/07/08 and 31/07/08

Reference Number	Parish	Proposal Description and Location	Appeal Decision	Comments
15/2064/06/F	DARTMOUTH	Proposed 9 dwelling residential development - Collingwood Close, Townstal Industrial Estate, Dartmouth, TQ6 9JY	DISMISSED	The Inspector concluded that proposed residential use on the appeal site was acceptable in principle and in accordance with Local Plan Policy. The proximity of the site to existing industrial and commercial uses was not a reason for dismissing the appeal, nor were concerns raised by residents about detriment to residential amenity, loss of views and devaluation of property. However, in dismissing the appeal, the Inspector raised strong concerns about design and layout which were significant enough to justify refusing the proposal.
44/2357/07/F	SLAPTON	Removal of condition (b) attached to planning permission dated 10 February 1988 ref. 9/44/2269/87/3 (holiday cottage to become [permanent residential dwelling) – Blackberry Cottage, Slapton, Kingsbridge, TQ7 2RE	DISMISSED	The Inspector concluded that the proposal to remove a holiday occupancy condition to allow a permanently occupied (all year round) residential dwelling in an isolated location, in open countryside, generating an increased amount of car-borne traffic and resulting in the loss of holiday accommodation to the detriment of the local economy, would be unsustainable and would set an undesirable precedent for further unsustainable development in the area.
20/1140/07/F	EAST PORTLEMOUTH	Resubmission of 20/0288/07/F for temporary agricultural dwelling and change of use of farm buildings to riding stables – Village Farm, East Portlemouth, Salcombe, TQ8 8PE	ALLOWED PARTIAL COSTS AWARDED TO APPELLANT	The Inspector concluded that the adverse effect on highway safety that this proposal may have caused was not so significant as to dissuade him from allowing the proposal which accords with relevant policy. In awarding a partial award of costs to the appellant, the Inspector concluded that the Council acted unreasonably and that the appellants were put to unnecessary expense of producing highway evidence. Full copies of the Inspector's decision notices are attached.
