

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
Organisations and Agents																
SA 0027	R 5037	Blackawton												Adequate sewage treatment works capacity in all the settlements will be available to meet the allocations up to 2016 with the exception of Blackawton , Diptford and Ermington where sewage treatment works improvements may be necessary subject to investigation. Whilst SWW will endeavour to meet the demand beyond 2015 being the next investment period, the timing of any improvements that may be required to meet the demand will be subject to approval of future business plans by OFWAT. Localised improvements to both the water distribution and sewerage networks may be necessary which will be assessed on a site specific basis.		
SA 0139	R 5156	Blackawton		RA11										There is no objection in principle to the development of this site.		
SA 0139	R 5157	Blackawton		RA12										There is no objection in principle to the development of this site.		
SA 0263	R 5285	Blackawton		RA11 & RA12										Dartmouth and Kingswear Society urges SHDC to take on board local opinion and knowledge although the plans appear to be in keeping with the needs of the village.		
SA 0263	R 52651	Blackawton							✓					Residents in Blackawton have considerable concerns over the local details put forward in the DPD, but Dartmouth & Kingswear Society has no major concerns and welcomes new affordable dwellings and further employment opportunities in this working village.	Concerns of local residents in Blackawton should be addressed via further consultation to agree the choice of development sites	Yes
SA 0271	R 5299	Blackawton		RA11 & RA12										Natural England raise concern at proposals RA11 and RA12. The sewage treatment plant is a limitation in this village. The Blackawton sewage treatment works currently contribute to the eutrophication of Slapton Ley SSSI, which is a qualifying water body under the Water Framework Directive. Hence there may well be a conflict between achieving 'good ecological condition' for the water body and the licensing of increased sewage discharges into the river Gara.		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0492	R 52648	Blackawton		RA12					✓	✓			Figure of 50 houses is not supported by research or need. A local housing needs survey (Nov 2008) identified a need for 10 affordable properties. SHDC has never stated a need for 50 dwellings until the publication of the DPD. The availability of land for building does not equate to a local requirement for housing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RA12 amended to read: "• Plans for 10 additional affordable houses (as identified by the local Housing Needs Survey) should be drawn up and implemented as soon as possible. • Further local housing needs surveys be undertaken in 2011 and 2014 and every three years thereafter in order o to update the assessment of local needs and o to provide evidence for additional houses to be developed in accordance with the timetable identified within the new needs survey • Progress on implementing the recommendations of the local housing needs surveys to be monitored on an annual basis • Develop firmer plans for ensuring allocation of affordable housing to people with legitimate ties to Blackawton" 	Yes	
SA 0492	R 52649	Blackawton		RA12					✓		✓		Proposals are not sustainable. There are no identified plans for appropriate infrastructure intersm of employment, roads, road safety, public transport and flood prevention. No attention is given to how the invaluable community spirit could be maintained with a large influx of newcomers who may have no ties with Blackawton	Suspend proposals until adequate infrastructure plans under each category are delveloped and agreed within the local community	Yes	
SA 0492	R 52650	Blackawton		RA12					✓	✓			Site identified is not that selected at Parish public meeting held on 9 June 2009. At this meeting strong preference was made for a site adjacent to Trench Furze Cross. The town farm site was second most popular. At a public meeting held this year there was further disquiet regarding 50 new homes and the inevitable negative effects on the community which could be minimised by distributing the new properties in small batches in different sites.	If all new houses must be provided, the distribution amongst different sites must be considered. Otherwise, top priority for development should be the site opposite Trench Furze Cross as identified at the public meeting. Town farm site was second most popular	Yes	
SA 0591	R 52727	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Group submission by Blackawton Research Group represents shared views of over 107 people from 68 properties		Yes	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0591	R 52728	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<p>A questionnaire regarding RA12 was issued to every household in the parish. 87 responses: Many cited that May 2010 was the first notice they had about the size of development. No-one attributed learning about 50 homes from any activity by the district council. All raised concern that 50 dwellings was too many for the village and that local roads were not adequate or safe for potential traffic levels. 83% asked review group to look to put together submission for them. 85% were concerned by the general effect on the community. 64% raised concerns including capacity at school, flooding issues, lack of amenities, public transport and sustainability. 62% had concerns regarding RA12, particularly size of development. 90% potentially support small, more sustainable development to meet local needs. All except one person were unaware of plans for 50 homes at RA12.</p>	<p>Withdraw RA12. Development plans for the village beyond 2016 should reflect limitations of the village as a location for district wide strategic housing. If town Farm is not suitable for smaller developments alternative sites should be sought. At all stages the community should be allowed to actively participate in shaping the scheme.</p>	Yes		
SA 0591	R 52729	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<p>RA12 not legally compliant as Council did not consult on number of homes being proposed. Village had assumed it was for 10 dwellings to meet local needs. There has been no clear explanation why number of dwellings has increased. District council has failed in its duty to consult.</p>	<p>Withdraw RA12. Development plans for the village beyond 2016 should reflect limitations of the village as a location for district wide strategic housing. If town Farm is not suitable for smaller developments alternative sites should be sought. At all stages the community should be allowed to actively participate in shaping the scheme.</p>	Yes		
SA 0591	R 52730	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<p>Not legally compliant because implementing RSS housing allocations which no longer exists.</p>	<p>Withdraw RA12. Development plans for the village beyond 2016 should reflect limitations of the village as a location for district wide strategic housing. If town Farm is not suitable for smaller developments alternative sites should be sought. At all stages the community should be allowed to actively participate in shaping the scheme.</p>	Yes		
SA 0591	R 52731	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<p>Soundness. RA12 not justified because 50 homes is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base. Design Review Assessment states an increase of housing in village of 10% is considered significant. The additional 60 dwellings represent a 37% increase. Press adverts were either missed or their importance overlooked as they did not specifically relate to the villages affected. There is no evidence to justify where the figure of 50 dwellings derived from. Can only conclude decision was based upon availability rather than needs of the community.</p>	<p>Withdraw RA12. Development plans for the village beyond 2016 should reflect limitations of the village as a location for district wide strategic housing. If town Farm is not suitable for smaller developments alternative sites should be sought. At all stages the community should be allowed to actively participate in shaping the scheme.</p>	Yes		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0591	R 52732	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		Soundness. RA12 not effective because it will require significant improvements to village infrastructure which are not sufficiently explored and to which delivery partners are not signed up (such as DCC stating that upgrading the school is not a priority or SWW stating upgrading the sewage system will need to be externally funded). The village is accessed down narrow lanes, potential access to RA12 is on a blind bend, only regular bus service is over 1 mile away, pedestrian access through the village is dangerous, the school is at capacity, small village shop has limited opening hours, there is a limited outreach post office, sewage system is at capacity, virtually all services have to be accessed at Dartmouth. It is assumed the number of dwellings proposed is to serve strategic needs of the district, and not the village.	Withdraw RA12. Development plans for the village beyond 2016 should reflect limitations of the village as a location for district wide strategic housing. If town Farm is not suitable for smaller developments alternative sites should be sought. At all stages the community should be allowed to actively participate in shaping the scheme.	Yes	
SA 0591	R 52733	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		Soundness. RA12 not consistent with national policy regarding the RSS, preferred development of brownfield sites, development affecting a conservation zone and sustainable development of rural communities among others.	Withdraw RA12. Development plans for the village beyond 2016 should reflect limitations of the village as a location for district wide strategic housing. If town Farm is not suitable for smaller developments alternative sites should be sought. At all stages the community should be allowed to actively participate in shaping the scheme.	Yes	
SA 0595	R 52587	Blackawton				✓		✓					Housing development if managed well could support the development of a much needed new school. Could also rectify existing difficulties around parking in the village. Housing will support the sustainability of the school and keep it a vibrant place. Provision of affordable housing could support local business and provide local homes for staff and parents at the school		No	
SA 0699	R 52571	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		Conflicts between the DPD and national and local policy relating to sustainable development and climate change, such as para 3.15	Remove ref in 3.15 '...in some cases, it is necessary to progress less sustainable sites early in the plans so as to assure delivery'. This should also be removed from the other DPDs	Yes	
SA 0699	R 52572	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		RA12 contradicts national policy particularly the 'Key Planning Objectives' for spatial strategies set out in the supplement to PPS1 and statements on sustainable development in PPS1 and the RA DPD itself.	Re-open DPD drafting process to allow genuine consultation. Review all aspects of DPD to deepen commitment to sustainability and addressing climate change. Introduce policies for self-build and low impact development to provide highly affordable housing, see St Minver site, Cornwall and Welsh draft TAN 6.	Yes	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0699	R 52573	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		The DPD needs to address constraints, challenges and opportunities unique to the rural areas of South Hams. This will allow it to address existing policy imperatives of sustainable development and climate change outlined in PPS1, supplement to PPS1, The UK Low Carbon Transition Plan and the RA DPD itself (including its vision).	Re-open DPD drafting process to allow genuine consultation. Review all aspects of DPD to deepen commitment to sustainability and addressing climate change. Introduce policies for self-build and low impact development to provide highly affordable housing, see St Minver site, Cornwall and Welsh draft TAN 6.	Yes	
SA 0699	R 52574	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		The RSS is currently held in abeyance. Its draft policies remain relevant, especially paras 1.6.2, 1.6.7, Policies SD 1 & SD2 (all relating to south wests ecological footprint and reducing its size), and policy S12 (taking account of the needs of all groups of society).	Re-open DPD drafting process to allow genuine consultation. Review all aspects of DPD to deepen commitment to sustainability and addressing climate change. Introduce policies for self-build and low impact development to provide highly affordable housing, see St Minver site, Cornwall and Welsh draft TAN 6.	Yes	
SA 0699	R 52575	Blackawton	4.4				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		Clarity on the role and scope of the LDF within sustainable development in South Hams. Sustainable development is an on-going process of reflection and improvement. .	Para 4.4 should be amended to reflect this	Yes	
SA 0699	R 52576	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		RA12 has had no consultation in its current form until its appearance in the current draft DPD. All previous exercises (housing needs survey, site selection and enquiry by design) suggested 10 dwellings to meet local needs. Re-opening consultation could include greater emphasis of mixed use development, self-build, car free developments, traffic management plans, cycling, walking and public transport.	Re-open DPD drafting process to allow genuine consultation. Review all aspects of DPD to deepen commitment to sustainability and addressing climate change. Introduce policies for self-build and low impact development to provide highly affordable housing, see St Minver site, Cornwall and Welsh draft TAN 6.	Yes	
SA 0699	R 52577	Blackawton	4.5				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		Mixed use developments should be stipulated for all developments unless there are exceptional reasons. For example Low Impact Development can inform mainstream development in the South Hams.	Mixed use developments should be stipulated for all developments unless there are exceptional reasons.	Yes	
SA 1015	R 53558	Blackawton		RA11									Environment Agency: No known flood risk issues.			L
SA 1015	R 53559	Blackawton		RA12									Environment Agency: Need to ensure no increase in runoff as result of development, taking into account potential impacts of climate change.			L
Individuals																
SA 0051	R 5063	Blackawton											Questions why 50 houses are proposed in Blackawton when the roads are difficult, work is not available and car movements will be large. Suggests that we look to provide the 50 in Dartmouth which is better located.			

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?		
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments				Suggested changes	
SA 0128	R 5133	Blackawton		RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	<p>Considers that the location and size of the proposed development has not been fully thought through or accepted limitations agreed. Housing should be limited to that intended by the village.</p> <p>The location should be thoroughly examined to ensure that sight lines and amenity to the village community are not impaired.</p> <p>It should be possible for the additional development to be easily integrated with the current village with adequate arrangements for infrastructure.</p> <p>The scale of the development is not justified to suit the needs, requirements and wishes of the community.</p> <p>The scale of development is likely to detract from the amenity of the village.</p> <p>The location and scale of development is likely to produce a satellite to the village.</p> <p>The proposal will change the nature of the village and it will be difficult and costly to address the utilisation of resources.</p>	<p>Whilst some new development is needed, the following is considered necessary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - limit number of new developments to those needed by the village and community and sited appropriately - preserve amenity for the current residents. 	No			
SA 0129	R 5134	Blackawton		RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	<p>Considers that the location and size of the proposed development has not been fully thought through or accepted limitations agreed. Housing should be limited to that intended by the village.</p> <p>The location should be thoroughly examined to ensure that sight lines and amenity to the village community are not impaired.</p> <p>It should be possible for the additional development to be easily integrated with the current village with adequate arrangements for infrastructure.</p> <p>The scale of the development is not justified to suit the needs, requirements and wishes of the community.</p> <p>The scale of development is likely to detract from the amenity of the village.</p> <p>The location and scale of development is likely to produce a satellite to the village.</p> <p>The proposal will change the nature of the village and it will be difficult and costly to address the utilisation of resources.</p>	<p>Whilst some new development is needed, the following is considered necessary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - limit number of new developments to those needed by the village and community and sited appropriately - preserve amenity for the current residents. 	No			
SA 0130	R 5135	Blackawton		RA12			✓				✓		<p>Considers that the village cannot cope with an increase in cars and housing which will put a strain on the school and parking in the village.</p> <p>There is no bus service to the village.</p> <p>Access to the village is unable to cope with additional traffic.</p> <p>There is a need for housing but only 10-20 maximum.</p>	<p>The proposed development in Cheavestone Lea is acceptable (RA11) and a possible 5-10 houses to follow at a later date in a more sympathetic location.</p>	No			

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0218	R 5248	Blackawton		RA12						✓	✓	✓	<p>Considers that the proposal is unsound because there is no justification for the scale of development in Blackawton.</p> <p>RA12 is too large a development. Support for RA11. Feel that the community has not been given a choice. Suggests that there should be a selection of small sites of not more than 10 dwellings which residents could decide on for themselves.</p> <p>The proposal is ineffective as there is no guarantee that any of the infrastructure will be delivered.</p>	RA11 to remain and RA12 to be deleted entirely.	No	
SA 0479	R 52551	Blackawton		RA11									It is accepted that additional housing will be built in the village, preferably with a significant amount of affordable housing. Little opposition to RA11.			
SA 0479	R 52552	Blackawton		RA12									No information regarding evidence base for the Blackawton area. Particularly evidence for the 50 dwelling figure. The PFBE workshop attended by local representatives supported RA11. The scale of development at RA12 was not considered.			
SA 0479	R 52553	Blackawton		RA12									RA12 appears to be a top down proposal, based on RSS requirements and not local consultation.			
SA 0479	R 52554	Blackawton		RA12									RA12 is not appropriate in terms of scale in relation to the size of the village and how the village has developed in the post war period.			
SA 0479	R 52555	Blackawton		RA12									Reference to development that meets local challenges needs to be explained.			
SA 0481	R 52556	Blackawton		RA12									Support small sustainable developments that meet village's needs, but these proposals do not meet our needs but have been produced to fulfil district-wide requirements.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52557	Blackawton		RA12									Legal compliance. Have not been properly consulted on proposals. Village not aware it could face 60 extra homes. No reason is given why the allocation has increased from 10 to 60 dwellings. Council has failed in its duty to consult and give sufficient reasons behind decisions made.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52558	Blackawton		RA12									Legal compliance. RSS has been abolished yet the DPD seeks to fulfil the Council's former obligation under the RSS (where the Secretary of State increased district allocation by 12%).	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52559	Blackawton		RA12									Soundness. No justification for scale of RA12. No evidence has been provided to justify this scale of development. The villages own housing needs identified need for 10 affordable homes, half of which is to be provided at RA11.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52560	Blackawton		RA12									Proposals are not effective on a number of counts. Village lacks infrastructure to support new families. School is full with no contingency plans. No regular public transport apart from school bus. Small shop and does not open normal hours. Outreach post office with limited service. Road access to village is down narrow lanes. Main road through village has no pavement. Nearest regular bus route is one mile away. Sewage system is at capacity and chosen site is well known for flooding.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0481	R 52561	Blackawton		RA12									Cost of improving infrastructure (roads, school, public transport, sewage) has not been agreed by any delivery partners. In particular DCC made it clear that a new school is a low priority and unlikely to happen before any development. This would contradict district's own policies on infrastructure.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52562	Blackawton		RA12									Proposals don't follow national guidance on sustainable development for rural communities, particularly due to scale of development proposed. It is not appropriate to consider the whole parish as the proposed development is not spread out across the whole parish.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52563	Blackawton		RA12									Proposals contrary to national policy on sustainability, due to an inevitable reliance on car journeys to access services.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52564	Blackawton		RA12									Size and position of RA12 will have a detrimental effect on conservation zone and several ancient hedgerows. Potential flood risk to properties below which are in the conservation zone.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0481	R 52565	Blackawton		RA12									Proposals mean greenfield development to suit district wide housing need, when there are plenty more sustainable brownfield sites lying unused such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0486	R 52546	Blackawton		RA12									No justification for scale of development (37% increase in size of village). Especially as village is hard to access, has no public transport, school at capacity, a small shop with restricted opening hours and only an outreach postal service.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD to allow genuine consultation and proper assessment of village's needs and capacity.		
SA 0486	R 52547	Blackawton		RA12									Greenfield site of RA12 overlooks village, much is within conservation zone	Withdraw RA12 from DPD to allow genuine consultation and proper assessment of village's needs and capacity.		
SA 0486	R 52548	Blackawton		RA12									Now RSS is revoked, the Council need not pursue intrusive and unsustainable practices, contrary to national guidance.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD to allow genuine consultation and proper assessment of village's needs and capacity.		
SA 0486	R 52549	Blackawton		RA12									Failure by the district Council to consult properly. No one was aware of the number of houses to be included in DPD before Feb 2010.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD to allow genuine consultation and proper assessment of village's needs and capacity.		
SA 0486	R 52550	Blackawton		RA12									Little opposition to much smaller development to meet village's needs, that is genuinely sustainable and community driven	Withdraw RA12 from DPD to allow genuine consultation and proper assessment of village's needs and capacity.		
SA 0514	R 52710	Blackawton	6.78	RA12	6.79 & 6.80								RA12 is unsound and not justified that it is unsustainable from both a shallow and deeper ecological perspective	A reassessment is needed of national aspirations and values from which the DPD originates. Question current wisdom of perpetual economic growth to which we as a nation subscribe. Only when we appreciate what we inherently possess, rather than seeking to possess what we don't have, will the unsustainable imperative behind this proposal be changed.	No	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0514	R 52711	Blackawton	6.78	RA12	6.79 & 6.80					✓	✓	✓	The roads that serve the village are much the same as they were pre-war. Over 75 dwellings have been added to the village in an 80 year period. Resulting in at least 300 vehicular movements per day to what in places is still the pre-war road system. The lanes can be difficult and even risky place to be.	A reassessment is needed of national aspirations and values from which the DPD originates. Question current wisdom of perpetual economic growth to which we as a nation subscribe. Only when we appreciate what we inherently possess, rather than seeking to possess what we don't have, will the unsustainable imperative behind this proposal be changed.	No	
SA 0514	R 52712	Blackawton	6.78	RA12	6.79 & 6.80					✓	✓	✓	The walk of 1 mile from the village to the bus stop can be dangerous for pedestrians	A reassessment is needed of national aspirations and values from which the DPD originates. Question current wisdom of perpetual economic growth to which we as a nation subscribe. Only when we appreciate what we inherently possess, rather than seeking to possess what we don't have, will the unsustainable imperative behind this proposal be changed.	No	
SA 0514	R 52713	Blackawton	6.78	RA12	6.79 & 6.80					✓	✓	✓	Adding more vehicle movements to this situation without making it more amenable to pedestrians should mean that RA12 fails any reasonable criteria for sustainability. Furthermore, unless the road between the village and Forces Tavern were upgraded the Town Farm site would be unsustainable in terms of energy and social resources due to its poor integration as many of the services and facilities required by residents would require car journeys.	A reassessment is needed of national aspirations and values from which the DPD originates. Question current wisdom of perpetual economic growth to which we as a nation subscribe. Only when we appreciate what we inherently possess, rather than seeking to possess what we don't have, will the unsustainable imperative behind this proposal be changed.	No	
SA 0514	R 52714	Blackawton	6.78	RA12	6.79 & 6.80					✓	✓	✓	To increase the size of the settlement over a short period would contribute to deteriorating the village's strong social fabric and foster the emergence of two spatially and socially disparate groups.	A reassessment is needed of national aspirations and values from which the DPD originates. Question current wisdom of perpetual economic growth to which we as a nation subscribe. Only when we appreciate what we inherently possess, rather than seeking to possess what we don't have, will the unsustainable imperative behind this proposal be changed.	No	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0514	R 52715	Blackawton	6.78	RA12	6.79 & 6.80				✓	✓	✓		Would be detrimental to wider ecology, essential humanness and society.	A reassessment is needed of national aspirations and values from which the DPD originates. Question current wisdom of perpetual economic growth to which we as a nation subscribe. Only when we appreciate what we inherently possess, rather than seeking to possess what we don't have, will the unsustainable imperative behind this proposal be changed.	No	
SA 0535	R 52566	Blackawton		RA12									Legal compliance. Community only found out about 50 dwellings at RA12 when the DPD was issued. Blackawton Parish Council only found out in Feb 2010. The Enquiry by Design workshops did not deal with numbers of houses beyond 2016, simply areas.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. The Council should look to community participation in a smaller more sustainable proposal that reflects the village's needs.		
SA 0535	R 52567	Blackawton		RA12									Justification. There cannot be evidence of local community participation, as the local community, up to April, were neither aware nor consulted in this matter. Nor can anyone find justification for the overall numbers being proposed (60 dwellings).	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. The Council should look to community participation in a smaller more sustainable proposal that reflects the village's needs.		
SA 0535	R 52568	Blackawton		RA12									Effectiveness. RA12 will require significant associated infrastructure. Have not found evidence of 'sound infrastructure delivery planning required'. There are constraints on roads leading to village, this area has induced flooding on houses to the south, existing sewerage capacity of the village is reportedly (SWW) already fully utilised. Infrastructure delivery partners do not appear to have signed up for this infrastructure delivery.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. The Council should look to community participation in a smaller more sustainable proposal that reflects the village's needs.		
SA 0535	R 52569	Blackawton		RA12									RA12 will increase village by 30-40% over a decade is disproportionate and lacks justification. The Design Review Assessment report states lesser increases in village size are preferable.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. The Council should look to community participation in a smaller more sustainable proposal that reflects the village's needs.		
SA 0535	R 52570	Blackawton		RA11									No problem with the chosen site RA11. As it has 'form' in the context of the village shape and can be suitably adapted to cater for traffic and remove such from the core of the village.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. The Council should look to community participation in a smaller more sustainable proposal that reflects the village's needs.		
SA 0537	R 52609	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					<No comment provided>		No	
SA 0538	R 52610	Blackawton	6.80	RA12		✓		✓					Feel it is necessary for village to expand		No	
SA 0539	R 52611	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Regular visitor to the village, without building new infrastructure (particularlly with workshop accomodation) the village will gradually become another commuters only establishment, together with a few retirees.		No	
SA 0542	R 52613	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Need for low cost housing because as a local business in the area I have staff that would like to buy in the village. At present they are unable to buy properties in the village.		No	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0543	R 52614	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					DPD sound as it has been through all the relevant consultations, there is a need for low cost housing and without more housing the village will die.		No	
SA 0569	R 52589	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Have not been properly consulted on the proposals at an early enough stage	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52590	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals don't follow national guidance on sustainable development for rural areas.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52591	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans would mean 37% increase in size of village, could lead to problems of integration.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52592	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans don't meet the needs of the village. We need 10 affordable houses, building 60 is unnecessary	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52593	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Traffic increase would be too great. No regular bus service. Limited village facilities and employment.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52594	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Don't have the infrastructure to support large development (school, public transport, post office). Shop has limited opening hours	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52595	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Size and position of RA12 will have a detrimental effect on conservation zone and several ancient hedgerows.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52596	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Potential flood risk to properties below which are in the conservation zone.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52597	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals mean greenfield development when there are plenty more sustainable brownfield sites lying unused such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0569	R 52598	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									It is not an environmentally concerned (sustainable) development	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52689	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Support small sustainable developments that meet village's needs, but these proposals do not meet our needs but have been produced to fulfil district-wide requirements.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52690	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Legal compliance. Have not been properly consulted on proposals. Village not aware it could face 60 extra homes. No reason is given why the allocation has increased from 10 to 60 dwellings. Council has failed in its duty to consult and give sufficient reasons behind decisions made.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52691	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Legal compliance. RSS has been abolished yet the DPD seeks to fulfil the Council's former obligation under the RSS (where the Secretary of State increased district allocation by 12%).	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52692	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Soundness. No justification for scale of RA12. No evidence has been provided to justify this scale of development. The villages own housing needs identified need for 10 affordable homes, half of which is to be provided at RA11.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52693	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals are not effective on a number of counts. Village lacks infrastructure to support new families. School is full with no contingency plans. No regular public transport apart from school bus. Small shop and does not open normal hours. Outreach post office with limited service. Road access to village is down narrow lanes. Main road through village has no pavement. Nearest regular bus route is one mile away. Sewage system is at capacity and chosen site is well known for flooding.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0579	R 52694	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Cost of improving infrastructure (roads, school, public transport, sewage) has not been agreed by any delivery partners. In particular DCC made it clear that a new school is a low priority and unlikely to happen before any development. This would contradict district's own policies on infrastructure.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52695	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals don't follow national guidance on sustainable development for rural communities, particularly due to scale of development proposed. It is not appropriate to consider the whole parish as the proposed development is not spread out across the whole parish.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52696	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals contrary to national policy on sustainability, due to an inevitable reliance on car journeys to access services.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52697	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Size and position of RA12 will have a detrimental effect on conservation zone and several ancient hedgerows. Potential flood risk to properties below which are in the conservation zone.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0579	R 52698	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals mean greenfield development to suit district wide housing need, when there are plenty more sustainable brownfield sites lying unused such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52679	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Support small sustainable developments that meet village's needs, but these proposals do not meet our needs but have been produced to fulfil district-wide requirements.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52680	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Legal compliance. Have not been properly consulted on proposals. Village not aware it could face 60 extra homes. No reason is given why the allocation has increased from 10 to 60 dwellings. Council has failed in its duty to consult and give sufficient reasons behind decisions made.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52681	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Legal compliance. RSS has been abolished yet the DPD seeks to fulfil the Council's former obligation under the RSS (where the Secretary of State increased district allocation by 12%).	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52682	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Soundness. No justification for scale of RA12. No evidence has been provided to justify this scale of development. The village's own housing needs identified need for 10 affordable homes, half of which is to be provided at RA11.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52683	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals are not effective on a number of counts. Village lacks infrastructure to support new families. School is full with no contingency plans. No regular public transport apart from school bus. Small shop and does not open normal hours. Outreach post office with limited service. Road access to village is down narrow lanes. Main road through village has no pavement. Nearest regular bus route is one mile away. Sewage system is at capacity and chosen site is well known for flooding.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appeal?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0580	R 52684	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Cost of improving infrastructure (roads, school, public transport, sewage) has not been agreed by any delivery partners. In particular DCC made it clear that a new school is a low priority and unlikely to happen before any development. This would contradict district's own policies on infrastructure.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52685	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals don't follow national guidance on sustainable development for rural communities, particularly due to scale of development proposed. It is not appropriate to consider the whole parish as the proposed development is not spread out across the whole parish.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52686	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals contrary to national policy on sustainability, due to an inevitable reliance on car journeys to access services.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52687	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Size and position of RA12 will have a detrimental effect on conservation zone and several ancient hedgerows. Potential flood risk to properties below which are in the conservation zone.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0580	R 52688	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals mean greenfield development to suit district wide housing need, when there are plenty more sustainable brownfield sites lying unused such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52599	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Concern that no money to support proposal for new school. The school is already overcrowded and cramped. More children in the village would mean more places at the school - but there is no more space nor scope for expansion	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52600	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Have not been properly consulted on the proposals at an early enough stage	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52601	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals don't follow national guidance on sustainable development for rural areas.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52602	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans would mean 37% increase in size of village, could lead to problems of integration.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52603	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans don't meet the needs of the village. We need 10 affordable houses, building 60 is unnecessary	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52604	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Traffic increase would be too great. No regular bus service. Limited village facilities and employment.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52605	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Don't have the infrastructure to support large development (school, public transport, post office). Shop has limited opening hours	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52606	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Size and position of RA12 will have a detrimental effect on conservation zone and several ancient hedgerows.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52607	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Potential flood risk to properties below which are in the conservation zone.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0582	R 52608	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals mean greenfield development when there are plenty more sustainable brownfield sites lying unused such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0588	R 52588	Blackawton	6.80			✓		✓					The village needs new development to sustain it and keep it viable. There is a bad need for affordable housing. The infrastructure this proposal would bring will enhance the village with a site for a new school and the chance to locate new businesses in the village. The village has bad traffic congestion and this will be solved with the relocation of the school. This is the only site which can generate sustainability for future generations.		No	
SA 0601	R 52618	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	No one was consulted about this plan	Withdraw present DPD and smaller more sustainable proposal needed compatible with village and community needs	No	
SA 0619	R 52642	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Need affordable housing for local families		No	
SA 0623	R 52637	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Blackawton area needs more housing for local people.		No	
SA 0623	R 52638	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Development would support local business		No	
SA 0623	R 52639	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					RA12 is ideal as main approach road to village, will relieve bottlenecks at school lane.		No	
SA 0623	R 52640	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Site looks over village, so avoiding existing properties looking onto grey roofs		No	
SA 0623	R 52641	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Provides new school with easy safe access over a long period 2016-26.		No	
SA 0624	R 52616	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Have lived in Blackawton for a number of years renting, unable to purchase a property. Feel there is a need for affordable housing in Blackawton		No	
SA 0625	R 52615	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					As a farm worker, there is a great need for housing, especially affordable housing in Blackawton		No	
SA 0626	R 52617	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					There is a need for housing in Blackawton and the infrastructure to alleviate traffic problems in the village centre		No	
SA 0627	R 52643	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Village badly needs more houses to sustain village life. Local clubs and post office will struggle to survive, more people in the village ill make it more viable		No	
SA 0628	R 52646	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Local need for affordable housing and with regard to Blackawton a better primary school with good access and parking		No	
SA 0629	R 52636	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					<No comment provided>		No	
SA 0630	R 52647	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Need for local housing is very important		No	
SA 0631	R 52654	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					There is a need for housing in the village for the young people who are reared in the surrounding area		No	
SA 0632	R 52652	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					There should be affordable housing as I have a personal need for one.		No	
SA 0633	R 52628	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Believe there is a need for affordable housing		No	
SA 0634	R 52653	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					There is a shortage of housing in the village. There is a need to expand Blackawton for future generations		No	
SA 0635	R 52629	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Regularly work in the parish I realise there is a need for affordable housing for local people in the village		No	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0683	R 52716	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Have been unable to understand the Public Stage Representation Form			
SA 0683	R 52717	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Have not been properly consulted on the proposals at an early enough stage	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52718	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals don't follow national guidance on sustainable development for rural areas.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52719	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans would mean 37% increase in size of village, could lead to problems of integration.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52720	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans don't meet the needs of the village. We need 10 affordable houses, building 60 is unnecessary. A housing survey carried out last year established the need for up to 10 affordable homes for local people. No requirement for any other housing was established.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52721	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Traffic increase would be too great. No regular bus service. Limited village facilities and employment. Village only accessible by single lanes. Likely access is on a dangerous bend.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52722	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Don't have the infrastructure to support large development (school, public transport, post office). Shop has limited opening hours. No guarantee that a new school would ever be built even if land is made available under the proposed development	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52723	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Size and position of RA12 will have a detrimental effect on conservation zone and several ancient hedgerows.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52724	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Potential flood risk to properties below which are in the conservation zone.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52725	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals mean greenfield development when there are plenty more sustainable brownfield sites lying unused such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0683	R 52726	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									RA12 not sound because it is not justified or effective. Although I support small sustainable developments to meet the village's need	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52578	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Have not been properly consulted on the proposals at an early enough stage	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52579	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals don't follow national guidance on sustainable development for rural areas.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52580	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans would mean 37% increase in size of village, could lead to problems of integration and spoil the character of the whole village.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52581	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Plans don't meet the needs of the village. We need 10 affordable houses, building 60 is unnecessary	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52582	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Traffic increase would be too great. No regular bus service. Limited village facilities and employment. Village only accessible by narrow tracks. Likely access is on a dangerous bend. Most roads have no pavements.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52583	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Don't have the infrastructure to support large development (school, public transport, post office). Shop has limited opening hours	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52584	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Size and position of RA12 will have a detrimental effect on conservation zone and several ancient hedgerows.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0696	R 52585	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Potential flood risk to properties below which are in the conservation zone.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0696	R 52586	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12									Proposals mean greenfield development when there are plenty more sustainable brownfield sites lying unused such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD		
SA 0703	R 52612	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Blackawton needs more housing to support local business and affordable housing for local people to buy. If the village doesn't expand it will become a second home village, leaving young families unable to purchase homes.		No	
SA 0704	R 52644	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Village has severe lack of affordable housing for people working in the area. People in 20s stand no chance when competing against retired people for houses in the area. The extra houses will give the village critical mass to support school, shop and 2 pubs.		No	
SA 0705	R 52645	Blackawton		RA12		✓		✓					Proposal would reduce traffic into the village and low cost housing is a must have.		No	
SA 0711	R 52699	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Having in-filled the village developers are looking for a new way to continue developing. They are using affordable homes as a Trojan horse.	DPD withdrawn. Smaller more sustainable proposals needed.	No	
SA 0711	R 52700	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Number of houses has increased without warning from 10 to 60. It appears the Parish Council, bar the chairman, was only recently made aware of this. Number of houses would swamp village. Community spirit and general infrastructure would be overstretched. This is not sustainable development. Best site selected but too many homes.	DPD withdrawn. Smaller more sustainable proposals needed.	No	
SA 0711	R 52701	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	How can people from a village requiring financial help with housing afford transport to work, probably by car, where there is minimal public transport? They would have great difficulty finding and commuting to work	DPD withdrawn. Smaller more sustainable proposals needed.	No	
SA 0711	R 52702	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	There are already affordable affordable homes in the village	DPD withdrawn. Smaller more sustainable proposals needed.	No	
SA 0711	R 52703	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Great unique attraction of this area is its underdevelopment. This proposed development is further destruction of Rural England.	DPD withdrawn. Smaller more sustainable proposals needed.	No	
SA 0723	R 52663	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Have not been properly consulted on the proposals at an early enough stage	Withdraw RA12 from DPD	No	
SA 0723	R 52664	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	No justification for all 60 houses to be built in village, indeed no robust and credible evidence that houses are needed in village	Withdraw RA12 from DPD	No	
SA 0723	R 52665	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	This development would increase number of dwellings by a third again and is unsustainable because: traffic increase on single lanes would be too great, lack of public transport would exacerbate traffic problems, pressure on local infrastructure would be too great (eg school is already at capacity with no further plans for renewal or expansion) and sewage treatment works is already working at capacity.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD	No	
SA 0723	R 52666	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	There would be a social impact, as the community tries to absorb and integrate with around a third increase in size of population	Withdraw RA12 from DPD	No	
SA 0723	R 52667	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	RA12 would overlook and could be seen from the conservation area, this would have a significant negative impact on it.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD	No	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0723	R 52668	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Why build on greefield sites when there are suitable brownfield sits such as Baltic Wharf and Dairy Crest where the existing infrastructure would better cope with such development.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD	No	
SA 0734	R 52627	Blackawton											Occupants of social housing will require local services such as public transport, schools and cheap shops. Blackawton has none of these. Therefore development of social housing in Blackawton will be counter productive.			
SA 0735	R 52630	Blackawton		RA12				✓		✓			Figure of 50 houses is not supported by research or need. Parish Council Requirement Survey (Nov 2008) identified a need for 10 affordable properties. SHDC has never stated a need for 50 dwellings until the publication of the DPD.	Plans for 10 additional affordable homes should be drawn up. Further surveys to be carried out every three years to establish what further needs are required.	Yes	
SA 0773	R 52620	Blackawton				✓		✓					Support proposal for new housing in Blackawton, recognising many benefits brought by such a proposal to the village, its well being and future growth			
SA 0773	R 52621	Blackawton				✓		✓					Building desperately needed affordable housing for local people unable to afford high market values of housing currently available			
SA 0773	R 52622	Blackawton				✓		✓					Creating a larger village population to sustain village facilities and services			
SA 0773	R 52623	Blackawton				✓		✓					Providing more opportunities for improved play and recreation facilities. Both village hall and play area need upgrading			
SA 0773	R 52624	Blackawton				✓		✓					Look at improving traffic and pedestrian circulation in the village			
SA 0773	R 52625	Blackawton				✓		✓					Create opportunities for ecological and sustainable betterment in the form of more varied habitat creation and wildlife corridors			
SA 0773	R 52626	Blackawton				✓		✓					Create possible opportunities for greater connectivity between village and main access roads through creation of safe pedestrian and cycle paths and increased public transport links into village.			
SA 0783	R 52704	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Have not been properly consulted on the proposals at an early enough stage	RA12 should be withdrawn	No	
SA 0783	R 52705	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Plans would mean 37% increase in size of village, which will lead to problems with integration	RA12 should be withdrawn	No	
SA 0783	R 52706	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Don't have regular bus service and village facilities and employemtn are extremely limited so people would be forced to drive. Village infrastructure is lacking, school is scheduled for a re-build but is over crowded, nearest bus stop is 1 mile down country lanes, employment is at a premium (in Totnes or Dartmouth), post office has been demoted to an outreach, while shop has only been kept open by a grant from SHDC with limited opening hours.	RA12 should be withdrawn	No	
SA 0783	R 52707	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Location of development is potential floodrisk to properties below. My property and neighbours have flooded in past, (which is due to a spring) flood remediation work has since been put place but this is yet to be tested as there has been limited rainfall since. The Town Farm fields would require new and larger pipeline.	RA12 should be withdrawn	No	
SA 0783	R 52708	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Proposals don't follow national guidelines on sustainable development for rural communities	RA12 should be withdrawn	No	

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?	
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments				Suggested changes
SA 0783	R 52709	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	10 affordable houses should not require the village to accept 60 new houses.	RA12 should be withdrawn	No		
SA 0784	R 52655	Blackawton	6.72	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Not legally compliant. Residents of the village were not properly consulted, or even notified about the change from 10 to 60 houses in early May	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. In favour of smaller more sustainable proposal which would retain the character of Blackawton.	No		
SA 0784	R 52656	Blackawton	6.72	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	60 houses would increase the village by 37%, too much for a rural village	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. In favour of smaller more sustainable proposal which would retain the character of Blackawton.	No		
SA 0784	R 52657	Blackawton	6.72	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Infrastructure (sewage, narrow approach lanes, size of school, bus services) would not support such an increase. No plans were produced to address this matter. Infrastructure would not be able to support any more than 10 new houses without additional attention.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. In favour of smaller more sustainable proposal which would retain the character of Blackawton.	No		
SA 0784	R 52658	Blackawton	6.72	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Houses on that area would increase the danger of flooding for existing houses in the village below the building area.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. In favour of smaller more sustainable proposal which would retain the character of Blackawton.	No		
SA 0784	R 52659	Blackawton	6.72	RA12		✓		✓		✓		✓	Agree that there is a need for more affordable housing, but think that 10 more houses could be a reasonable addition to a village of this size.	Withdraw RA12 from DPD. In favour of smaller more sustainable proposal which would retain the character of Blackawton.	No		
SA 0794	R 52660	Blackawton				✓		✓		✓			One of the main reasons in selecting Blackawton for new housing was the Primary School. This was taken as evidence of a need for housing for young people. However, the school is at full capacity in what were described as cramped conditions in 2002 OFSTED report. County Councillor at a public meeting (25.05.10) confirmed that there is no funding to address those cramped conditions. Refurbishment of the existing site could not accommodate the uplift in pupil from the 60 new dwellings. A new build school would be required, but there is no money to address present shortcomings of the school	Development of 9 houses at Cheavestone Lea is sound. Funding is still needed to address shortcomings of school. Such development would be in keeping with linear form of village, have acceptable additional vehicle movements. 50 dwellings at RA12 is unsound. Once Cheavestone Lea is completed a review of Parish housing needs should be conducted. To avoid shortcomings of RA12, it should be constrained to one off increments of no more than 10 houses, starting with sites identified in the original DPD consultation process.	No		

Reference		Settlement	Paragraph	Proposal	Other	Legally Compliant		Sound		If Unsound			Representation		Appear?	Late Rep?
Respondent ID	Comment ID					Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent	Comments	Suggested changes		
SA 0794	R 52661	Blackawton				✓			✓	✓			Blackawton has developed as a linear settlement, with new housing added on an incremental basis, within the linear character. RA12 would be separate from the rest of the village, with the danger that residents would not assimilate with the rest of the village.	Development of 9 houses at Cheavestone Lea is sound. Funding is still needed to address shortcomings of school. Such development would be in keeping with linear form of village, have acceptable additional vehicle movements. 50 dwellings at RA12 is unsound. Once Cheavestone Lea is completed a review of Parish housing needs should be conducted. To avoid shortcomings of RA12, it should be constrained to one off increments of no more than 10 houses, starting with sites identified in the original DPD consultation process.	No	
SA 0794	R 52662	Blackawton				✓			✓	✓			Based on estimates by the Highways Agency (as advised by SHDC District Councillor) that each new house would generate 5 vehicle movements for every property. 60 new dwellings would generate 300 additional vehicle movements. Main route from village between Dartmouth and Totnes for much of length is single carriageway. It is the only route to the nearest bus stop, but does not have a footpath. Public spending constraints seem unlikely that funding will be available to rectify this. Also risk to pedestrians seeking access to public transport.	Development of 9 houses at Cheavestone Lea is sound. Funding is still needed to address shortcomings of school. Such development would be in keeping with linear form of village, have acceptable additional vehicle movements. 50 dwellings at RA12 is unsound. Once Cheavestone Lea is completed a review of Parish housing needs should be conducted. To avoid shortcomings of RA12, it should be constrained to one off increments of no more than 10 houses, starting with sites identified in the original DPD consultation process.	No	
SA 0998	R 52631	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Not legally compliant due to insufficient robust data and credible evidence base. Without this evidence base is insufficient for a proper and sound consideration of the proposal.	Withdraw present DPD and smaller more sustainable proposal needed compatible with village and community needs	No	
SA 0998	R 52632	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Authorities have a duty to consult and this has not been followed	Withdraw present DPD and smaller more sustainable proposal needed compatible with village and community needs	No	
SA 0998	R 52633	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	RSS and housing targets are no longer strong and fixed due to change of government	Withdraw present DPD and smaller more sustainable proposal needed compatible with village and community needs	No	
SA 0998	R 52634	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Proposal is not effective as there is no detail and implementable infrastructure delivery. No evidence of delivery partners having signed up to this development.	Withdraw present DPD and smaller more sustainable proposal needed compatible with village and community needs	No	
SA 0998	R 52635	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Not consistent with national policy	Withdraw present DPD and smaller more sustainable proposal needed compatible with village and community needs	No	
SA 1000	R 52619	Blackawton	6.72-6.80	RA12			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	<No comment provided>	Withdraw DPD	No	