

South Hams Local Development Framework

2827



Sherford Area Action Plan (Submission Stage) – Alternative Sites Consultation Response Form

South Hams
District Council

Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink.

A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.

All comments should be received by **4.00pm on Tuesday 10 October 2006** to guarantee that they will be considered.

For Official Use Only

Reference No.

Date Acknowledged

Please complete this form if you are responding to the Alternative Sites Consultation ONLY

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details (if applicable)

Title

First Name

Last Name

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail

Data Protection

The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website (www.southhams.gov.uk).

3. History of Representation

Did you make a representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the Sherford Area Action Plan ?

No	Yes (Issues & Options Stage)	Yes (Preferred Options)	Yes (Submission Stage)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

4(a). Nature of Representation

Do you consider the Alternative Site to be:

Sound¹

Go to Q.5

i.e. that you support the alternative site

Unsound

Go to Q.4(b)

i.e. you do not support the alternative site to the one proposed in the Sherford AAP

4(b). Nature of Representation

If you consider the Alternative Site is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note.

Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the Alternative Site fails.

Please tick one Test only

Failure to meet a Soundness Test

1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).
2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI).
(B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.
3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.
4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be trusted' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

- | | |
|--|--------------------------|
| (B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

5. Explanation of Representation

Please give details of why you consider the Alternative Site to be either 'sound' or 'unsound'. If you consider it to be 'unsound' please have regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). Please be as precise as possible.

THIS ALTERNATIVE SITE IS MORE IN KEEPING WITH THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL BY SOUTH HAMS COUNCIL FOR SHERFORD NEW TOWN. PLACING IT IN THE VALLEY WILL HAVE LESS VISUAL IMPACT ON THE SURROUNDING AREAS OF ELBURTON, PLYMPTON & BRIXTON. THE PROPOSED ROAD THROUGH SHERFORD LINKING ONTO THE A379 IS IN A MORE APPROPRIATE LOCATION (WITHOUT THE NEED TO BUILD MORE MAJOR ROADS). THE PARK AND RIDE SITUATED IN THAT AREA WILL HOPEFULLY EASE THE TRAFFIC PROBLEMS AND CAN BE USED BY OTHER TRAFFIC APPROACHING PLYMOUTH FROM THE SOUTH HAMS. THIS SHERFORD REFINED PLAN SHOWS SHERFORD AS A COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT NEW TOWN AND NOT JUST AN URBAN EXTENSION OF PLYMOUTH. THE INFRASTRUCTURE i.e. SCHOOLS, HEALTH CENTRE, LEISURE CENTRE, SHOPS AND EMPLOYMENT, CHURCH AND OPEN SPACES ARE ALL WELL CATERED FOR.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

6(a). Examination

Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to attend in person at the Examination?



Written Representations



Attend Examination

6(b). Examination

If you wish to attend in person at the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

[Empty box for response]

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to attend the Examination.

Signature

Date

26 - 09 - 06

Please return your completed Alternative Site Response Form to:

Post: Major Developments Team, South Hams District Council, Follaton House, Plymouth Road, Totnes, TQ9 5NE

Fax: Marked - Major Developments (01803) 861404

Email: major.developments@southhams.gov.uk

For any enquiries please call **01803 861234**