

South Hams Local Development Framework

Sherford Area Action Plan (Submission Stage) – Alternative Sites Consultation Response Form

▼

**South Hams
District Council**

Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink.

A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.

For Official Use Only

Reference No.

Date Acknowledged

Please complete this form if you are responding to the

Title

Mrs

First Name

CATHERINE

Last Name

COUCHMAN

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

3 CHERRY TREE DRIVE

Line 2

BRIXTON

Line 3

PLYMOUTH

Line 4

DEVON

Post Code

PL8 2DD

Telephone Number

01752 880218

E-mail

Data Protection

The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website ().

Did you make a representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the Sherford Area Action Plan ?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| No | Yes (Issues & Options Stage) | Yes (Preferred Options) | Yes (Submission Stage) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

Do you consider the Alternative Site to be:

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Sound¹ Go to Q.5 i.e. that you support the alternative site | <input type="checkbox"/> | Unsound Go to Q.4(b) i.e. you do not support the alternative site to the one proposed in the Sherford AAP | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|

If you consider the Alternative Site is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note.

Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the Alternative Site fails.

| | Please tick one Test only |
|--|------------------------------|
| Failure to meet a Soundness Test | |
| 1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS). | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be trusted' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

- | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| (B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

Representation on Representation

Please give details of why you consider the Alternative Site to be either 'sound' or 'unsound'. If you consider it to be 'unsound' please have regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). Please be as precise as possible.

I find the site proposed by the Plymouth + South West Co-operative Society unsound.

- ① No future control regarding the direction or density of development
- ② No Buffer Areas to prevent unification of Esturton + Buxton.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to attend in person at the Examination?

Written Representations Attend Examination

If you wish to attend in person at the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To provide more detail to substantiate my objections.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to attend the Examination.

Signature

Date

3 October 2006

Please return your completed Alternative Site Response Form to:

Post: Major Developments Team, South Hams District Council, Follaton House, Plymouth Road, Totnes, TQ9 5NE

Fax: Marked - Major Developments (01803) 861404

Email: major.developments@southhams.gov.uk

For any enquiries please call **01803 861234**