

South Hams Local Development Framework

Sherford Area Action Plan Response Form *ALTERNATIVE SITE CONSULTATION*



South Hams
District Council

Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink. **A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.**

All comments should be received by **4.00pm on Friday 11 August 2006** to guarantee that they will be considered.

For Official Use Only
Reference No.
Date Acknowledged

~~10 October~~
Please complete this form if you are responding to the Sherford AAP only.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details (if applicable)

Title:

First Name:

Last Name:

Job Title (where relevant):

Organisation (where relevant):

Address Line 1:

Line 2:

Line 3:

Line 4:

Post Code:

Telephone Number:

E-mail:

Please Note: Following the Submission Stage consultation, if you wish to be notified by the Council of the publication of the Planning Inspector's recommendations, or the Council's adoption of the Sherford AAP, or both please provide the Council with your name and address to the Council's contact details at the end of this form.

Data Protection
The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website (www.southhams.gov.uk).

3. History of Representation

Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, i.e. before it was submitted for Examination?

No Yes (at Issues & Options Stage) Yes (at Preferred Options)

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

4(a). Nature of Representation

Do you consider the DPD is:

Sound¹
Go to Q.4(c)
i.e. that you
support the DPD

Unsound
Go to 4(b)
i.e. you consider
the DPD should be changed

4(b). Nature of Representation

If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note.

Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development plan document fails.

Please tick one
Test only

Failure to meet a Soundness Test

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS). | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be trusted' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

- 5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
- 6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
- 7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
- 8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- 9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

4(c). Nature of Representation

To which part of the DPD does your representation relate?

Paragraph	Policy	Proposals Map/Plan/Diagram
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SNC 8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DRAFT MAP

5. Changes to the DPD

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

PLACING THE EMPLOYMENT AREA WHERE IT IS WOULD NOT CREATE AN INCLUSIVE SUSTAINABLE ECONOMY. THERE WOULD BE NO BUSINESS SPACES WITHIN MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT AREAS.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

South Hams Local Development Framework



Sherford Area Action Plan Response Form

ALTERNATIVE SITE CONSULTATION

South Hams District Council

Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink. A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.

All comments should be received by 4:00pm on Friday 14 August 2006 to guarantee that they will be considered.

For Official Use Only
Reference No.
Date Acknowledged

Please complete this form if you are responding to the Sherford AAP only.

1. Personal Details	2. Agents Details (if applicable)	
Title	MR.	
First Name	ROGER	
Last Name	GAGE	
Job Title (where relevant)	CHAIRMAN	
Organisation (where relevant)	CPRE SOUTH HAMS & PLYMOUTH	
Address Line 1	CHARTRY HOUSE	
Line 2	AVERTON GIFFORD	
Line 3	KINGERRIDGE	
Line 4	.	
Post Code	TQ7 4EN	
Telephone Number	0546 590380	
E-mail		

Please Note: Following the Submission Stage consultation, if you wish to be notified by the Council of the publication of the Planning Inspector's recommendations, or the Council's adoption of the Sherford AAP, or both please provide the Council with your name and address to the Council's contact details at the end of this form.

Data Protection
The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website (www.southhams.gov.uk).

History of Representation

you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, i.e. before it was submitted for Examination?

No Yes (at Issues & Options Stage) Yes (at Preferred Options)

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

Nature of Representation

How do you consider the DPD is:

Sound¹
Go to Q.4(c)
i.e. that you
support the DPD

Unsound
Go to 4(b)
i.e. you consider
the DPD should be changed

Nature of Representation

If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note. **Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development in document fails.**

Please tick one Test only

Criteria to meet a Soundness Test

- 1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).
- 2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI).
- (B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.
- 3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.
- 4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
- (B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy.
- (C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy.

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be justified' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

4(c). Nature of Representation

To which part of the DPD does your representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

 SNC 10

Proposals Map/Plan/Diagram

 DRAFT MAP

5. Changes to the DPD

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

THE WIDTH OF OPEN SPACE ON THE EASTERN BOUNDARY IS TOO NARROW AND WOULD ALLOW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT TO BE TOO CLOSE TO BRIXTON. A LARGE COMMUNITY PARK IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE A DEFINITE BREAK BETWEEN SHEPPARD AND BRIXTON

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

South Hams Local Development Framework

~~Sherford Area Action Plan Response Form~~

ALTERNATIVE SITE CONSULTATION



Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink. A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.

All comments should be received by 4.00pm on Friday ~~11 August 2006~~ 10 October to guarantee that they will be considered.

For Official Use Only
Reference No.
Date Acknowledged

Please complete this form if you are responding to the Sherford AAP only.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details (if applicable)

Title

First Name

Last Name

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail

Please Note: Following the Submission Stage consultation, if you wish to be notified by the Council of the publication of the Planning Inspector's recommendations, or the Council's adoption of the Sherford AAP, or both please provide the Council with your name and address to the Council's contact details at the end of this form.

Data Protection

The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website (www.southhams.gov.uk).

3. History of Representation

Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, i.e. before it was submitted for Examination?

No

Yes (at Issues & Options Stage) Yes (at Preferred Options)

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

4(a). Nature of Representation

Do you consider the DPD is:

Sound¹

Go to Q.4(c)

i.e. that you

support the DPD

Unsound

Go to 4(b)

i.e. you consider

the DPD should be changed

4(b). Nature of Representation

If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note.

Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development plan document fails.

Please tick one
Test only

Failure to meet a Soundness Test

1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).

2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI).

(B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.

3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.

4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.

(B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy.

(C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy.

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be trusted' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

4(c). Nature of Representation

To which part of the DPD does your representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

Site 1

Proposals Map/Plan/Diagram

DEAF
MAP

5. Changes to the DPD

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

THERE HAS BEEN NO COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN THIS PLAN'S PREPARATION AND IT SHOULD BE TOTALLY RECONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF PREVIOUS CONSULTATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS THAT HAVE REJECTED MANY OF THIS PLAN'S PROPOSALS.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

South Hams Local Development Framework



Sherford Area Action Plan Response Form

ALTERNATIVE SITE CONSULTATION

South Hams District Council

Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink. A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.

All comments should be received by 4:00pm on Friday 11 August 2006 to guarantee that they will be considered.

For Official Use Only
Reference No.
Date Acknowledged

Please complete this form if you are responding to the Sherford AAP only.

1. Personal Details		2. Agents Details (if applicable)	
Title	MR.		
First Name	ROGER		
Last Name	GAGE		
Job Title (where relevant)	CHAIRMAN		
Organisation (where relevant)	CPRE South Hams & Plympton		
Address Line 1	CHANNY HOUSE		
Line 2	AVETON GIFFORD		
Line 3	KINGSBRIDGE		
Line 4			
Post Code	TQ7 4EH		
Telephone Number	01548 550380		
E-mail			

Please Note: Following the Submission Stage consultation, if you wish to be notified by the Council of the publication of the Planning Inspector's recommendations, or the Council's adoption of the Sherford AAP, or both please provide the Council with your name and address to the Council's contact details at the end of this form.

Data Protection
The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website (www.southhams.gov.uk).

History of Representation

you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, i.e. before it was submitted for Examination?

No Yes (at Issues & Options Stage) Yes (at Preferred Options)

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

[Empty box for explanation]

Nature of Representation

How do you consider the DPD is:

Sound¹
Go to Q.4(c)
i.e. that you
support the DPD

Unsound
Go to 4(b)
i.e. you consider
the DPD should be changed

Nature of Representation

If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note. **Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development in document fails.**

Please tick one Test only

Failure to meet a Soundness Test

- 1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).
- 2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI).
- (B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.
- 3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.
- 4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
- (B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy.
- (C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy.

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be justified' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

4(c). Nature of Representation

To which part of the DPD does your representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

Proposals Map/Plan/Diagram

5. Changes to the DPD

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

ACCESS ONTO THE A379 FROM SHERFORD AT CHITTLEBURN
HILL WOULD REQUIRE VERY COSTLY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS
TO THE A379 INTO PLYMOUTH

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

