

Environment Policy – Scoping Report Appendix D
National and Local Performance Indicators Relating to the Environment (2004)

(Note – All figures & explanations are currently draft. The appendix is designed to illustrate to Members the range of 'environmental' indicators)

Service/Indicator	2002 / 2003 Actual	Good OK Poor	2003 / 2004 unaudited outturn	2003 / 2004 Target	2004 / 2005 Target	2005 / 2006 Target	2006 / 2007 Target	Will reach Government 5 year upper quartile target by 2005/2007 Yes / No	2002/2003 Comparisons		
									Best 25% Districts National	Devon Districts Average	"Core" Group Average

Where Satisfaction Surveys have taken place the results will be shown as, for example, 76% (+/-2.1%). The figure in brackets is known by statisticians as the 'confidence factor', another name for margin of error, and says how close the answer is likely to be to the 'true' answer. 76% (+/-2.1%) means that the result could range from 73.9% (76% -2.1% to 78.1% (76%+2.1%). This applies to all satisfaction survey figures.

Good
 OK
 Poor
 'Smiley' faces refer to our performance against the best 25% of districts national performance during 2002/2003

Service/Indicator	2002 / 2003 Actual	Good OK Poor	2003 / 2004 unaudited outturn	2003 / 2004 Target	2004 / 2005 Target	2005 / 2006 Target	2006 / 2007 Target	Will reach Government 5 year upper quartile target by 2005/2007 Yes / No	Best 25% Districts National	Devon Districts Average	"Core" Group Average
BVPI 180a (2002/03)	Electricity								Electricity	Electricity	Electricity
Energy consumption of Local Authority operational property (kWh/m2) compared with buildings in the UK as a whole	92% *	OK		No longer collected	No longer collected	No longer collected	No longer collected	Indicator changed	83%	111.23%	108.97%
Calculated using agent's Lettable Area	42% *	Good							Fossil Fuels	Fossil Fuels	Fossil Fuels
									68%	108.93%	94.11%

* In the 2003 Performance Plan figures of 101% for Electricity and 96% for Fossil Fuels were given for BVPI 180a. Our Auditor found an error in calculation and has amended to 92% and 42% respectively

Explanation of performance 2002/03

Explanation of performance 2003/04

BVPI 180a (2003/04)	Energy consumption of Local Authority operational property (kWh/m2) compared with buildings in the UK as a whole	Changed Calculation for 2003/04						Will be established by national averages			
	Calculated using Gross Internal Area										

Comment

Local Indicators

Energy consumption of Local Authority operational property set out below (£/m2)







Follaton House	5.81										Local indicator only
South Dartmoor Leisure Centre	2.73										
Quayside Leisure Centre	4.53										
Totnes (Tadpool)	3.26										
Public Conveniences	3.05										

Water use Local Authority for operational properties specified below (£/m2)

Follaton House	0.8									
South Dartmoor Leisure Centre	5.5									

Environment Policy – Scoping Report Appendix D
National and Local Performance Indicators Relating to the Environment (2004)




(Note – All figures & explanations are currently draft. The appendix is designed to illustrate to Members the range of 'environmental' indicators)




Service/Indicator	2002 / 2003 Actual	 Good  OK  Poor	2003 / 2004 unaudited outturn	2003 / 2004 Target	2004 / 2005 Target	2005 / 2006 Target	2006 / 2007 Target	Will reach Government 5 year upper quartile target by 2005/2007 Yes / No	2002/2003 Comparisons		
									Best 25% Districts National	Devon Districts Average	"Core" Group Average
Quayside Leisure Centre	2.3								Local indicator only		
Totnes (Tadpool)	4.5										
Public Conveniences	23.2										
CO2 tonnes / m2 for operational properties specified below											
Follaton House	0.01								Local indicator only		
South Dartmoor Leisure Centre	0.11										
Quayside Leisure Centre	0.05								Local indicator only		
Totnes (Tadpool)	0.07										
Public Conveniences	0										
BVPI 82 Household Waste											
82a) Percentage recycled.	13.22% *	 OK		22.1%	28.1%	29%	30%	28% combined recycled / composted by 2004 36 % by 2005/6	14%	14.92%	12.59%
<p>* In the 2003 Performance Plan a figure of 13.19% was given for BVPI 82a. Our Auditor found an error in calculation and has amended to 13.22%</p> <p>Explanation. The Council is in the top quartile of performance overall despite a decline in performance for 2001/02. The poorer performance in this year (previous year 24.9%) was largely attributable to two causes: the foot and mouth outbreak - which disrupted some rural collections, reduced the tonnage of green waste composted and sent all leaf sweepings to landfill; and a poorer recovery rate (50%) from inefficiencies at the Plymouth MRF plant - the quantities and quality of recyclables collected remained at a comparable level. In 2002/03 the Council has piloted a more comprehensive recycling system and employing a new processor. The recovery of the material has increased from 50% to 98%. During 2003/04 the new methodology will be extended to existing participants and it is predicted that the recycling rate will increase to 26% (N.B. this figure is not comparable to the figures for 2000/01 and 2001/02 because civic amenity waste is now part of a separate indicator) by 2004/05. During 2003/04 the Council will be working to establish a process facility within the district. When in place the household scheme will be extended to the rest of the district increasing the recycling rate up to 36.76%. The statutory target for 2005/06 is 36%.</p>											
82b) Percentage composted.	4.61%	 Good		5.87%	6.17%	6.35%	6.5%	28% combined recycled / composted by 2004 36 % by 2005/6	2.2%	2.47%	2.61%
Comment											
BVPI 84 Kg of household waste collected per head	436.50	 Poor		450	461	474	488	None required	367	408.40	400.58

Performance comparisons – National and Local Performance Indicators.

Environment Policy – Scoping Report Appendix D
National and Local Performance Indicators Relating to the Environment (2004)










(Note – All figures & explanations are currently draft. The appendix is designed to illustrate to Members the range of 'environmental' indicators)

Service/Indicator	2002 / 2003 Actual	 Good  OK  Poor	2003 / 2004 unaudited outturn	2003 / 2004 Target	2004 / 2005 Target	2005 / 2006 Target	2006 / 2007 Target	Will reach Government 5 year upper quartile target by 2005/2007 Yes / No	2002/2003 Comparisons		
									Best 25% Districts National	Devon Districts Average	"Core" Group Average

Explanation of performance 2002/03 -											
Explanation of performance 2003/04											
BVPI 199 (2003/2004 onwards)											
The proportion of relevant land and highways that is assessed as having combined deposits of litter and detritus(e.g. sand, silt and other debris)											
Clean	New indicator for 2003 /2004			No trend analysis available to set targets				Less than 30% 'significant' or 'heavy' by 2005/06			
Light											
Significant											
Heavy											
Comment											
BVPI 86	£40.71 *	 Poor		£40.86	£32.50	£33.25	£34.00	None required	£29.64	£38.01	£32.77
* In the 2003 Performance Plan the figure of £40.01 was estimated for BVPI 86. The final audited figure is £40.71											
Explanation of performance 2002/03 - The cost is always likely to be higher than the national average because of the sparsity of the district - 60% of the population live outside the five main towns - with an area of 340 square miles - and the narrowness of the lanes which restricts vehicle size. A better comparison would be with the Devon Districts. In addition there are additional costs arising from: Household waste generated by visitors - see BVPI 84; and the council's policy to operate a back door and weekly collection of household waste. This policy is designed to help the large no. of elderly and address the topography of many towns and villages. The key action for the future is the revised method of collection and processing of recyclables which will contribute to a lower overall cost.											
Explanation of performance 2003/04											
BVPI 88 Local Indicator 2003 onwards	60.4			50	50	50	50	None required			
Number of collections missed per 100,000 collections of household waste.											
Comment											
BVPI 89	92% (+/-1.9%) in 2001	 Good		92%	No survey	No survey		None required	72% in 2001	71.26% in 2001	69.68% in 2001
Percentage of people satisfied with cleanliness standards.(of streets)											
Comment											
BVPI 90a	92% (+/-1.3%) in 2001	 Good		92%	No survey	No survey		None required	91% in 2001	87.69% in 2001	88.48% in 2001
Percentage of people satisfied with Household Waste Collection.											
Comment											




Environment Policy – Scoping Report Appendix D
National and Local Performance Indicators Relating to the Environment (2004)

(Note – All figures & explanations are currently draft. The appendix is designed to illustrate to Members the range of 'environmental' indicators)

Service/Indicator	2002 / 2003 Actual	 Good  OK  Poor	2003 / 2004 unaudited outturn	2003 / 2004 Target	2004 / 2005 Target	2005 / 2006 Target	2006 / 2007 Target	Will reach Government 5 year upper quartile target by 2005/2007 Yes / No	2002/2003 Comparisons		
									Best 25% Districts National	Devon Districts Average	"Core" Group Average
BVPI 90b Percentage of people satisfied with Recycling facilities	85% (+/-1.2%) in 2001	 Good		90%	No survey	No survey		None required	75% in 2001	72.56% in 2001	68.28% in 2001
Comment											
BVPI 91 Percentage of population resident in an authority's area served by a kerbside collection of recyclables	71.98%	 Poor		90%	90%	100%	100%	None required	100%	77.91%	73.85%
Explanation of performance 2002/03											
Explanation of performance 2003/04											
Local Indicator The average time taken to remove fly-tips.	1.05 days			1.50 days	1.25 days	1.12 days	1.0 days		Local indicator only		
Comment											
BVPI 106 Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land.	45%	 Poor		50%	30%	30%	30%	Target 60% of additional housing by 2008 Uncertain	82%	49.03%	50.91%
Explanation of performance 2002/03											
Explanation of performance 2003/04											
BVPI 119apos Overall satisfaction with parks and open spaces	62% (+/-2.5%)	 OK			No survey	No survey		None required	68%	64%	60%
BVPI 119upos Users satisfaction with parks and open spaces	78% (+/-2.7%)	 Good			No survey	No survey		None required	78%	75%	73%
BVPI 119nupos Non-users satisfaction with parks and open spaces	36% (+/-4.0%)	 OK			No survey	No survey		None required	41%	35%	36%
BVPI 189 – Public reassurance and quality of life 2003 / 2004 onwards											

Environment Policy – Scoping Report Appendix D
National and Local Performance Indicators Relating to the Environment (2004)

(Note – All figures & explanations are currently draft. The appendix is designed to illustrate to Members the range of 'environmental' indicators)

Service/Indicator	2002 / 2003 Actual	 Good  OK  Poor	2003 / 2004 unaudited outturn	2003 / 2004 Target	2004 / 2005 Target	2005 / 2006 Target	2006 / 2007 Target	Will reach Government 5 year upper quartile target by 2005/2007 Yes / No	2002/2003 Comparisons		
									Best 25% Districts National	Devon Districts Average	"Core" Group Average
a) % of residents surveyed who fell 'fairly safe' or 'very safe' after dark whilst outside in the local authority area.	New PI for 2003 2004							None required	New PI for 2003 2004		
b) % of residents surveyed who fell 'fairly safe' or 'very safe' during the day whilst outside in the local authority area.	New PI for 2003 2004							None required	New PI for 2003 2004		
Comment											
Local Indicator To put in place 20 m.p.h. speed limits within communities/school areas within the South Hams	Proposed indicator			2 per annum	No target set	No target set	No target set	None required	Local Indicator		
Comment											
Local Indicator To reduce the number of casualties caused through road traffic accidents by 5%.	Proposed indicator			5% reduction per annum	No target set	No target set	No target set	None required	Local Indicator		
Comment											