

SOUTH HAMS D.C.

POSTROOM

7 JUL 2006

5

RECEIVED

South Hams Local Development Framework

Plymouth Urban Fringe Site Allocations Document Response Form

South Hams
District Council

Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink. **A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.**

All comments should be received by **4.00pm on Friday 11 August 2006** to guarantee that they will be considered.

For Official Use Only
Reference No. 2503
Date Acknowledged

Please complete this form if you are responding to the Plymouth Urban Fringe Site Allocation document only.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details (if applicable)

Title

First Name

Last Name

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail

Please Note: Following the Preferred Options consultation, if you wish to be notified when the Plymouth Urban Fringe document reaches the next stage of the process (Submission to the Secretary of State) please provide the Council with your name and address to the Council's contact details at the end of this form.

Data Protection

The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website (www.southhams.gov.uk).

3. History of Representation

Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, i.e. before the Preferred Options stage?

No

Yes (at Issues & Options Stage, October 2004)

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

4(a). Nature of Representation

Do you consider the DPD is:

Sound¹

Go to Q.4(c)

i.e. that you

support the DPD

Unsound

Go to 4(b)

i.e. you consider

the DPD should be changed

4(b). Nature of Representation

If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note.

Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development plan document fails.

Please tick one
Test only

Failure to meet a Soundness Test

1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).

2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI).

(B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.

3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.

4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.

(B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy.

(C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy.

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be trusted' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

- 5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
- 6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
- 7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
- 8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- 9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

4(c). Nature of Representation

To which part of the DPD does your representation relate?

Paragraph	Policy	Proposals Map/Plan/Diagram
<input type="text" value="4.92"/>	<input type="text" value="SNC 9"/>	<input type="text" value="SV"/>

5. Changes to the DPD

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

This George V playing fields are held in trust for the residents of Abboton in perpetuity - The trustees are not doing a good job!! If playing fields were in South Hams we would have to use cars to get there which is bad for the environment.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Signature

Date

Please return your completed Plymouth Urban Fringe Response Form to:

Post: Forward Planning Team, South Hams District Council, Follaton House, Plymouth Road, Totnes, TQ9 5NE

Fax: Marked Forward Planning (01803) 861404

Email: forward.planning@southhams.gov.uk

For any enquiries please call **01803 861234**