Received 16-24 11/8/06.

2247

SOUTH HAMS LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK SHERFORD AREA ACTION PLAN RESPONSE

To: Major Developments Team
South Hams District Council
Follaton House
Plymouth Road
Totnes
TQ9 5NE
11th August 2006

From: Mr GP Mrs AM & Miss TJ Rodgers
Hilltop House
65 Colesdown Hill
Billacombe
Plymouth
PL9 8AG
Delivered by Hand

Dear Sirs
Please take account of the following representation on the recently published Sherford Area Action Plan (Sh.AAP).

HISTORY OF OUR REPRESENTATIONA substantial new cross boundary proposal is described for the first time in the unregistered North Plymouth Area Action Plans (NP. AAP), and this new proposal has not been taken into account, in any respect, in the Sh.AAP (registered April 06), the Plymouth East Corridor Study (registered April 06), or the Plymstock Quarry Draft Master Plan (registered February 05).

NATURE OF OUR REPRESENTATION
New proposals relating to Policy SNC7 (Proposal Map 3 page 18 & paragraphs 7.67 & 7.68) in the Sh.AAP plan are not consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DDP's) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary proposals are relevant.

CHANGES TO THE DPD
The "Potential long term links" shown crossing Colesdown Hill, as shown on the Sh.AAP Proposal Map 3, have been changed in the NP.AAP to an immediate term new vehicular access route onto Colesdown Hill, and then joining the junction at Haye Road to then link through Ridge Road , enabling access to the north perimeter of the Sherford Development and therefore, for the first time, the NP.APP proposes a new local access route directly between the two northern boundaries of each development and this proposal is not referred to in Policy SNC7.

We propose that this new proposal in the NP.AAP cannot be further developed without proper analysis and consultation with the South Hams Authority and other relevant Authorities . More importantly the NP.AAP and the Sh.AAP are jointly and severally considered to be non compliant, as their respective consultation periods of six weeks do not properly overlap.

EXAMINATION
We wish to attend the examination to be held next year by the Inspector, so that we can make a formal representation seeking consistency between the NP.AAP proposal, after this document has been formally issued (current forecast date 22nd Aug. 06); and the Sh.AAP and any other relevant documents.

Yours faithfully

Mr GP Rodgers 11 Aug 06
Mrs AM Rodgers
Miss TJ Rodgers 11 August 06