



South Hams Local Development Framework

Sherford Area Action Plan Response Form



South Hams
District Council

Please read the Guidance Notes before completing this form in black ink. **A separate form should be used for each matter and should relate to a Test of Soundness for your representation to be properly considered.**

All comments should be received by **4.00pm on Friday 11 August 2006** to guarantee that they will be considered.

| | |
|-----------------------|-------|
| For Official Use Only | 2173 |
| Reference No. | |
| Date Acknowledged | |

Please complete this form if you are responding to the Sherford AAP only.

1. Personal Details

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Title | MR. |
| First Name | PETER, J. |
| Last Name | RODBERS |
| Job Title (where relevant) | RETIRED. |
| Organisation (where relevant) | / |
| Address Line 1 | 20 COLTNESS ROAD. |
| Line 2 | ELBURTON. |
| Line 3 | PLYMOUTH. |
| Line 4 | DEVON. |
| Post Code | PL9 8HA. |
| Telephone Number | 01752 402493 |
| E-mail | / |

2. Agents Details (if applicable)

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Please Note: Following the Submission Stage consultation, if you wish to be notified by the Council of the publication of the Planning Inspector's recommendations, or the Council's adoption of the Sherford AAP, or both please provide the Council with your name and address to the Council's contact details at the end of this form.

Data Protection

The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and issues raised will be considered by the Council. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection at the Council offices, and available to view on the Council's website (www.southhams.gov.uk).

3. History of Representation

Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, i.e. before it was submitted for Examination?

No

Yes (at Issues & Options Stage)

Yes (at Preferred Options)

If you answered 'No' to the above, can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

4(a). Nature of Representation

Do you consider the DPD is:

Sound¹

Go to Q.4(c)

i.e. that you support the DPD

Unsound

Go to 4(b)

i.e. you consider the DPD should be changed

4(b). Nature of Representation

If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please tick which test of soundness your representation relates to. For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note.

Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development plan document fails.

Please tick one Test only

Failure to meet a Soundness Test

1. It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).

2. (A) It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI).

(B) Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.

3. The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.

4. (A) It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.

(B) It is inconsistent with national planning policy.

(C) It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy.

¹ The Planning Inspectorate defines 'sound' within its ordinary meaning of 'showing good judgement' and 'able to be trusted' and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

- 5. It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
- 6. The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
- 7. The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
- 8. There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- 9. The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

For more information on any of the 9 Tests of Soundness please see the Guidance Note

4(c). Nature of Representation

To which part of the DPD does your representation relate?

Paragraph

7.92

Policy

SNC9

Proposals Map/Plan/Diagram

5

5. Changes to the DPD

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified in response to question 4(b). You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

KING GEORGE'S FIELD.

See Separate Sheet.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

6(a). Examination

Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to attend in person at the Examination?

Written Representations Attend Examination

6(b). Examination

If you wish to attend in person at the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to attend the Examination.

Signature

Date

29 JULY 2006.

Please return your completed Sherford AAP Response Form to:

Post: Major Developments Team, South Hams District Council, Follaton House, Plymouth Road, Totnes, TQ9 5NE

Fax: Marked - Major Developments (01803) 861404

Email: major.developments@southhams.gov.uk

For any enquiries please call 01803 861234

KING GEORGE'S FIELD.

The land known as King George's Field was conveyed for the purposes of the Recreation Grounds Act 1859 upon trust to permit the same to be used as a public recreation and playing field for ever.

As an Elburton resident I will resist any attempt to allow this land to be used as road access to the proposed Sheford New Town development.

This land has a long history of local sporting use and must continue as a sporting facility. Furthermore, it must be retained in its entirety; in order that its historical use can be enjoyed in future years. So that the traditions of the 1920's Elburton Sports + Tea and the 1970's + 80's Elburton Fayres can be brought back to life by future generations.

Therefore re-route the proposed access road North of King George's Field, as originally proposed.